

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	, i	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/810,421	-	03/19/2001	David Clyde Chiles	06975-091001	6501
26171	7590	03/10/2005		EXAM	INER
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			DENNISON	I, JERRY B	
1425 K ST 11TH FLO	•	W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3500				2143	
			•	DATE MAILED: 03/10/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)
,	09/810,421	CHILES ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	J. Bret Dennison	2143
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Oc	ctober 2004	
_	action is non-final.	•
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims	•	
· _		
 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-72</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 	n from consideration	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m nom consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-72</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement	
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,,	7 X 27 7 X X 7
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	• •	
application from the International Bureau	•	Ü
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		ed.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		
TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Act	tion Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 2005030

Application/Control Number: 09/810,421 Page 2

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Action is in response to Amendment for Application Number 09/810,421 received on 27 October 2004.

2. Claims 1-72 are presented for examination.

Double Patenting (Obviousness)

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-12, 43-52, and 61-72 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9, 12-14, 21-30, and 34-45 of copending Application No. 09/810,511. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

Application No. 09/810511	Instant Application: 09/810,421
1. A system for connecting multiple home-	1. A system for connecting multiple home-
networked client devices to a host system,	networked client devices to a host system,
wherein the host system assigns	wherein the host system assigns
independent Internet addresses to the	independent Internet addresses to the
home networked client devices, the	home networked client devices, the
system comprising:	system comprising:
a home gateway device which includes a	a home gateway device which includes a
communication device to communicate	communication device to communicate
with the host system over a single	with the host system over a single
communication tunnel established	communication tunnel established
between the home gateway device and the	between the home gateway device and the
host system, wherein the home gateway	host system; and
device includes a network address	
translation module; and	
multiple home-networked client devices	multiple home-networked client devices
connected to the home gateway device via	connected to the home gateway device via
a network and that communicate with the	a network and that communicate with the
host system through the home gateway	host system through the home gateway
device over the single communication	device over the single communication
tunnel,	tunnel,
wherein the system is configured to enable	wherein the system is configured to enable

the host system to establish individual	the host system to establish individual
communication sessions with the multiple	communication sessions with the multiple
home-networked client devices over the	home-networked client devices over the
single communication tunnel and to assign	single communication tunnel and to assign
independent Internet addresses to each of	independent Internet addresses to each of
the multiple home networked client	the multiple home networked client
devices.	devices.
2. The system of claim 1 wherein the	2. The system of claim 1 wherein the
home gateway device is physically located	home gateway device is physically located
in a personal residence.	in a personal residence.
3. The system of claim 2 wherein the	3. The system of claim 2 wherein the
personal residence is a single family	personal residence is a single family
dwelling.	dwelling.
4. The system of claim 1 wherein the	4. The system of claim 1 wherein the
home gateway device and the home	home gateway device and the home
networked client devices are physically	networked client devices are physically
located in a personal residence.	located in a personal residence.
5. The system of claim 4 wherein the	5. The system of claim 4 wherein the
personal residence is a single family	personal residence is a single family
dwelling.	dwelling.
6. The system of claim 2 wherein the	6. The system of claim 2 wherein the
home-networked client devices include	home-networked client devices include

wireless client devices that are connected	wireless client devices that are connected
to the home gateway device via a wireless	to the home gateway device via a wireless
network.	network.
7. The system of claim 6 wherein the	7. The system of claim 6 wherein the
wireless client devices operate outside of	wireless client devices operate outside of
the personal residence.	the personal residence.
8. The system of claim 1 wherein the	8. The system of claim 1 wherein the
home-networked client devices establish	home-networked client devices establish
simultaneous individual communication	simultaneous individual communication
sessions with the host system over the	sessions with the host system over the
single communication tunnel and each	single communication tunnel and each
home-networked client device is assigned	home-networked client device is assigned
an independent Internet address by the	an independent Internet address by the
host system.	host system.
9. The system of claim 1 wherein the host	9. The system of claim 1 wherein the host
system includes an Internet Service	system includes an Internet Service
Provider.	Provider.
12. The system of claim 1 wherein the	10. The system of claim 1 wherein the
home gateway device communicates with	home gateway device communicates with
the multiple home-networked client	the multiple home-networked client
devices using a first protocol and	devices using a first protocol and
communicates with the host system using	communicates with the host system using

a second protocol.	a second protocol.
13. The system of claim 10 wherein the	11. The system of claim 10 wherein the
first protocol and the second protocol are	first protocol and the second protocol are
the same.	the same.
14. The system of claim 10 wherein the	12. The system of claim 10 wherein the
second protocol differs from the first	second protocol differs from the first
protocol.	protocol.
21. A method for connecting multiple	43. A method for connecting multiple
home-networked client devices to a host	home-networked client devices to a host
system, wherein the host system assigns	system, wherein the host system assigns
independent Internet addresses to the	independent Internet addresses to the
home networked client devices, the	home networked client devices, the
method comprising:	method comprising:
using the home gateway device to receive	using the home gateway device to receive
a request from at least one home	a request from at least one home
networked client device to communicate	networked client device to communicate
with the host system,	with the host system,
wherein the home-networked client device	wherein the home-networked client device
is connected to the home gateway device	is connected to the home gateway device
via a network;	via a network;
using the home gateway device to	using the home gateway device to
establish communications with the host	establish communications with the host

system over a single communication	system over a single communication
tunnel;	tunnel;
using the home gateway device to	using the home gateway device to
establish with the host system an	establish with the host system an
individual communication session over the	individual communication session over the
single communication tunnel, wherein the	single communication tunnel, wherein the
individual communication session is based	individual communication session is based
on an independent Internet address	on an independent Internet address
assigned to the home networked client	assigned to the home networked client
device that requested to communicate with	device that requested to communicate with
the host system; and	the host system; and
using the home gateway device to process	using the home gateway device to process
communications between the home	communications between the home
networked client device and the host	networked client device and the host
system by mapping the independent	system.
Internet address assigned by the host	
system for the home-networked client	
device to a local address used between	
the home gateway device and the home-	
networked device.	
22. The method of claim 21 further	44. The method of claim 43 further
comprising physically locating the home	comprising physically locating the home

gateway device in a personal residence	gateway device in a personal residence
such that the request is received in the	such that the request is received in the
personal residence.	personal residence.
23. The method of claim 22 wherein the	45. The method of claim 44 wherein the
personal residence is a single family	personal residence is a single family
dwelling such that the request is received	dwelling such that the request is received
in the single family dwelling.	in the single family dwelling.
24. The method of claim 21 further	46. The method of claim 43 further
comprising physically locating the home	comprising physically locating the home
gateway device and the home-networked	gateway device and the home-networked
client devices in a personal residence such	client devices in a personal residence such
that the request is received in the personal	that the request is received in the personal
residence.	residence.
25. The method of claim 24 wherein the	47. The method of claim 46 wherein the
personal residence is a single family	personal residence is a single family
dwelling such that the request is received	dwelling such that the request is received
in the single family dwelling.	in the single family dwelling.
26. The method of claim 21 further	48. The method of claim 43 further
comprising:	comprising:
using the home gateway device to	using the home gateway device to
establish with the host system multiple	establish with the host system multiple
simultaneous individual communication	simultaneous individual communication

sessions over the single communication	sessions over the single communication
tunnel, wherein the multiple simultaneous	tunnel, wherein the multiple simultaneous
individual communication sessions are	individual communication sessions are
each based on an independent Internet	each based on an independent Internet
address assigned to the	address assigned to the
home-networked client devices that	home-networked client devices that
request to communicate with the host	request to communicate with the host
system; and	system; and
using the home gateway device to process	using the home gateway device to process
communications between the home	communications between the home
networked client devices and the host	networked client devices and the host
system.	system.
27. The method of claim 21 wherein the	49. The method of claim 43 wherein the
host system includes an Internet Service	host system includes an Internet Service
Provider.	Provider.
28. The method of claim 21 wherein using	50. The method of claim 43 wherein using
the home gateway device to process	the home gateway device to process
communications between the home-	communications between the home-
networked client device and the host	networked client device and the host
system includes:	system includes:
using the home gateway device to	using the home gateway device to
communicate with the home-networked	communicate with the home-networked

client	client
device using a first protocol; and	device using a first protocol; and
using the home gateway device to	using the home gateway device to
communicate with the host system using a	communicate with the host system using a
second protocol.	second protocol.
29. The method of claim 28 wherein the	51. The method of claim 50 wherein the
first protocol and the second protocol are	first protocol and the second protocol are
the same.	the same.
30. The method of claim 28 wherein the	52. The method of claim 50 wherein the
second protocol differs from the first	second protocol differs from the first
protocol.	protocol.
34. A method for connecting multiple	61. A method for connecting multiple
home-networked client devices to a host	home-networked client devices to a host
system, wherein the host system assigns	system, wherein the host system assigns
independent Internet addresses to the	independent Internet addresses to the
home networked client devices, the	home networked client devices, the
method comprising:	method comprising:
using the host system to receive a request	using the host system to receive a request
for an individual communication session	for an individual communication session
with a home-networked client device;	with a home-networked client device;
using the host system to establish	using the host system to establish
communications with the home gateway	communications with the home gateway

device over a single communication	device over a single communication
tunnel;	tunnel;
using the host system to establish with the	using the host system to establish with the
home gateway device the individual	home gateway device the individual
communication session over the single	communication session over the single
communication tunnel, wherein	communication tunnel, wherein
establishing the individual communication	establishing the individual communication
session includes assigning an independent	session includes assigning an independent
Internet address to the home-networked	Internet address to the home-networked
client device that requested to	client device that requested to
communicate with the host system; and	communicate with the host system; and
communicating between the host system	communicating between the host system
and the home-networked client device	and the home-networked client device
through the home gateway device over the	through the home gateway device
individual communication session, wherein	over the individual communication session.
the independent Internet address is	-
mapped to a local address.	
35. The method of claim 34 further	62. The method of claim 61 further
comprising physically locating the home	comprising physically locating the home
gateway device in a personal residence	gateway device in a personal residence
such that the request is received in the	such that the request is received in the
personal residence.	personal residence.

36. The method of claim 35 wherein the	63. The method of claim 62 wherein the
personal residence is a single family	personal residence is a single family
dwelling such that the request is received	dwelling such that the request is received
in the single family dwelling.	in the single family dwelling.
37. The method of claim 34 further	64. The method of claim 61 further
comprising physically locating the home	comprising physically locating the home
gateway device and the home-networked	gateway device and the home-networked
client devices in a personal residence such	client devices in a personal residence such
that the request is received in the personal	that the request is received in the personal
residence.	residence.
38. The method of claim 37 wherein the	65. The method of claim 64 wherein the
personal residence is a single family	personal residence is a single family
dwelling such that the request is received	dwelling such that the request is received
in the single family dwelling.	in the single family dwelling.
39. The method of claim 34 further	66. The method of claim 61 further
comprising:	comprising:
using the host system to establish multiple	using the host system to establish multiple
simultaneous individual communication	simultaneous individual communication
sessions with the home gateway device	sessions with the home gateway device
over the single communication tunnel,	over the single communication tunnel,
wherein establishing the multiple	wherein establishing the multiple
simultaneous individual communication	simultaneous individual communication

Page 13

Application/Control Number: 09/810,421

Art Unit: 2143

sessions includes assigning an sessions includes assigning an independent Internet address to each independent Internet address to each home-networked client device that home-networked client device that requests to communicate with the host requests to communicate with the host system; and system; and communicating between the host system communicating between the host system and the home-networked client devices and the home-networked client devices through the home gateway device over the through the home gateway device over the multiple simultaneous individual multiple simultaneous individual communication communication sessions, wherein each independent sessions. Internet address is mapped to a local address assigned to each homenetworked client device. 40. The method of claim 39 further 67. The method of claim 66 further comprising having the host system use the comprising having the host system use the assigned independent Internet address to assigned independent Internet address to communicate individual information communicate individual information maintained by the host system to the maintained by the host system to the home-networked client devices. home-networked client devices. 41. The method of claim 40 wherein the 68. The method of claim 67 wherein the individual information includes host based individual information includes host based

Page 14

Art Unit: 2143

parental controls.	parental controls.
42. The method of claim 40 wherein the	69. The method of claim 67 wherein the
individual information includes wallet	individual information includes wallet
information.	information.
43. The method of claim 40 wherein the	70. The method of claim 67 wherein the
individual information includes calendar	individual information includes calendar
information.	information.
44. The method of claim 40 wherein the	71. The method of claim 67 wherein the
individual information includes	individual information includes
personalized web page information.	personalized web page information.
45. The method of claim 34 wherein the	72. The method of claim 61 wherein the
host system includes an Internet Service	host system includes an Internet Service
Provider.	Provider.

4. This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed (U.S. Patent Number 6,671,739) in view of Kikinis (U.S. Patent Number 6,167,120)

5. Regarding claim 1, Reed discloses a system for connecting multiple networked client devices to a host system, wherein the host system assigns independent Internet addresses to the home-networked client devices, the system comprising:

a home gateway device which includes a communication device to communicate with the host system over a single communication tunnel established between the home gateway device and the host system (Reed, col. 4, lines 60-65); and

multiple networked client devices connected to the home gateway device via a network and that communicate with the host system through the gateway device over the single communication tunnel (Reed, col. 3, lines 15-20 and lines 45-63, and Fig 1B),

wherein the system is configured to enable the host system to establish individual communication sessions with the multiple networked client devices over the single communication tunnel and to assign independent Internet addresses to the multiple networked client devices (Reed, col. 3, lines 15-20, 45-63).

Reed also discloses wherein the system is part of the local area network (Reed, col. 3, lines 10-20, lines 55-65). However, Reed does not explicitly state wherein the system contains multiple home network devices.

In an analogous art, Kikinis discloses a system for home networking wherein the home server provides internet access for a multiplicity of computers connected to the home server (Kikinis, col. 2, lines 30-35).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the home networking system of Kikinis into the system of Reed in order to provide a way of allowing home computers in a network to share resources through one Internet Service Provider and one Internet account (Kikinis, col. 1, lines 40-55), wherein each client has a unique Internet address and are using an individual communication session (Reed, col. 1, lines 40-55).

- 6. Regarding claim 2, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 1, including wherein the home gateway device is physically located in a personal residence (Kikinis, col. 4, lines 7-13). See motivation for claim 1.
- 7. Regarding claim 3, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 2, including wherein the personal residence is a single family dwelling (Kikinis, col. 4, lines 7-13). See motivation for claim 1.
- 8. Regarding claim 4, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 1, including wherein the home gateway device and the

Art Unit: 2143

home-networked client devices are physically located in a personal residence (Kikinis, col. 4, lines 7-13). See motivation for claim 1.

- 9. Regarding claim 5, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 4, including wherein the personal residence is a single family dwelling (Kikinis, col. 4, lines 7-13). See motivation for claim 1.
- 10. Regarding claims 6 and 7, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 2. Reed and Kikinis do not explicitly state wherein the home-networked client devices include wireless client devices that are connected to the home gateway device via a wireless network. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate wireless devices into the system of Reed and Kikinis because wireless networking is a form of networking, which is well known in the art well before Reed and Kikinis.
- 11. Regarding claim 8, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 1, including wherein the home-networked client devices establish simultaneous individual communication sessions with the host system over the single communication tunnel and each home-networked client device is assigned an independent Internet address by the host system (Reed, col. 3, lines 10-25).

- 12. Regarding claim 9, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 1, including wherein the host system includes an Internet Service Provider (Kikinis, col. 1, lines 45-50).
- 13. Regarding claim 10, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 1, including wherein the network address translation module includes a port-based network address translation module (Reed, col. 4, lines 60-67).
- 14. Regarding claim 11, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 1, including wherein the network address translation module includes an address-based network address translation module (Reed, col. 4, lines 60-67).
- 15. Regarding claim 12, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 1, including wherein the home gateway device communicates with the multiple home-networked client devices using a first protocol and communicates with the host system using a second protocol (Kikinis, Fig. 2).
- 16. Regarding claim 13, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 12, including wherein the home gateway device includes

Art Unit: 2143

one or more modules that are structured and arranged to convert between the first protocol and the second protocol (Kikinis, col. 4, last paragraph).

- 17. Regarding claim 14, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 12, including wherein the home-networked client devices are PPP enabled and the first protocol is PPPoE (Reed, col. 4, lines 60-67, Reed teaches using Network Address Translation). However, Kikinis does not explicitly state that the different protocols include L2TP. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the L2TP protocol between the gateway device and host system because L2TP is a standard that allows the transfer of Point to Point Protocol (PPP) traffic between different networks.
- 18. Regarding claim 15, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 14, including wherein the home gateway device uses Network Address Translation. Reed and Kikinis do not explicitly state wherein the home gateway emulates a PPPoE access concentrator and an L2TP access concentrator. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the L2TP protocol between the gateway device and host system because L2TP is a standard that allows the transfer of Point to Point Protocol (PPP) traffic between different networks.

Art Unit: 2143

19. Regarding claims 16-19, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 1, including wherein the communication device includes a cable modern, satellite modern, and DSL modern (Reed, col. 4, lines 50-67, Reed discloses communications through a wide area network, where it is in inherent that a typical network includes such moderns).

- 20. Regarding claim 20, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 1, including wherein the multiple home-networked client devices include client devices having computer software that enable the client devices to interface with the home gateway device and to communicate with the host system through the home gateway device, such that the host system is able to recognize independent client devices (Reed, col. 4, lines 50-67).
- 21. Regarding claim 21, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 20, including wherein the independent client devices are recognized by the host system through the use of unique identifiers assigned to each of the client devices by the host system during the established communication session (Reed, col. 4, lines 50-67).
- 22. Regarding claim 22, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 21, including wherein the unique identifiers are unique to the client devices (Reed, col. 4, lines 50-67).

Art Unit: 2143

- 23. Regarding claim 23, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 21, including wherein the unique identifiers include independent Internet addresses (Reed, col. 4, lines 50-67).
- 24. Regarding claim 24, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 21, including wherein the unique identifiers are unique to users of the client devices (Reed, col. 4, lines 50-67).
- 25. Regarding claim 25, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 24, including wherein at least one of the unique identifiers includes a unique identifier for a user of the client devices combined with an independent Internet address assigned to a client device (Reed, col. 4, lines 50-67).
- 26. Regarding claim 26, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 25. Reed and Kikinis do not explicitly state wherein at least one of the unique identifiers for the user of the client devices includes a screen name. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate a screen name in the data packets transferred to allow clients communicating with each other to easily identify each other.

Art Unit: 2143

27. Regarding claim 27, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 1, including wherein the multiple home-networked client devices are each assigned an independent Internet address by the host system that enables the host system to recognize a user of a home-networked client device, the user having a unique identifier that is which combined with the independent Internet address to allow the user access to individual information maintained by the host system for that user (Reed, col. 4, lines 50-67).

- 28. Regarding claims 28-31, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 27, including wherein the clients have access to information on the internet and other networks. Reed and Kikinis do not explicitly state wherein the information consists of host based parental controls, wallet information, calendar information, or personalized web page information. However, this type of information is basic information found on the Internet. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate information such as host based parental controls, wallet information, calendar information, or personalized web page information into Reed and Kikinis to provide basic Internet information to clients connected to the system.
- 29. Regarding claim 27, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 1, including wherein the home gateway device includes a personal computer (Reed, col. 4, lines 10-30).

Art Unit: 2143

30. Regarding claim 33, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 1, including wherein the home gateway device includes a server (Reed, col. 3, last paragraph).

- 31. Regarding claims 34-36, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 1, including wherein the system includes a typical network. Reed and Kikinis do not explicitly state wherein the network includes a wired, or wireless network. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate wired or wireless networks into the system because they are basic networking features
- 32. Regarding claim 37, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 1, including wherein the network includes an Ethernet network (Reed, col. 4, lines 50-67).
- 33. Claims 43-52 include a method with the same limitations of claims 1-15.

 Therefore claims 21-31 are rejected with the same art used in the rejection of claims 1-15.
- 34. Regarding claims 53-54, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 50. Reed and Kikinis do not explicitly wherein the first

protocol includes PPPoE and the second protocol includes L2TP. However, as stated before, Reed also discloses the use of Network Address Translation (Reed, col. 4, last paragraph) wherein L2TP is a standard for NAT that allows the transfer of Point to Point Protocol (PPPoE) traffic between different networks.

- 35. Regarding claim 55, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 50, including wherein using the home gateway device to process communications includes removing a first header from the communications received from the home-networked client device destined for the host system (Reed, Fig. 2), adding a second header to the communications (Reed, Fig. 2); and sending the communications with the second header to the host system (Reed, Fig. 2).
- 36. Regarding claim 56, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 5, including wherein using the home gateway device to process communications includes removing a third header from the communications received from the host system destined for the home-networked client device, adding a fourth header to the communications, and sending the communications with the fourth header to the home-networked client device (Reed, col. 4, lines 60-67, Reed discloses the use of Network Address Translation wherein packets are modified for communication between networks and addresses are modified as packets are passed through the network translator).

Application/Control Number: 09/810,421 Page 25

Art Unit: 2143

Claims 38-42, and 57-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed and Kikinis as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Leung (U.S. Patent Number 6,487,6050).

- 37. Regarding claims 38-42 and 57-60, Reed and Kikinis disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claims 1 and 43. Reed and Kikinis do not explicitly state the use of dynamic host configuration protocol (DHCP). In an analogous art of home networking, Leung discloses a system wherein clients use dynamic host configuration protocol to communicate with the gateway (Leung, col. 12, lines 20-45). DHCP is a protocol for assigning dynamic IP addresses to devices on a network. With dynamic addressing, a device can have a different IP address every time it connects to the network. In some systems, the device's IP address can even change while it is still connected. DHCP also supports a mix of static and dynamic IP addresses. As stated before, Reed also discloses the use of Network Address Translation (Reed, col. 4, last paragraph) wherein L2TP is a standard that allows the transfer of Point to Point Protocol (PPP) traffic between different networks.
- 38. Claims 61-72 include a method with the same limitations of claims 1-15.

 Therefore claims 61-72 are rejected with the same art used in the rejection of claims 1-15.

Response to Amendment

- 39. Applicant's arguments and amendments filed on 27 October 2004 have been carefully considered but they are not deemed fully persuasive. Applicant's arguments are deemed moot in view of the following new grounds of rejection as explained here below, necessitated by Applicant's substantial amendment (i.e., by incorporating new limitations into independent claim 1) to the claims which significantly affected the scope thereof.
- 40. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-72 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments include the failure of previously applied art to expressly disclose the teachings of "a host system that assigns independent Internet addresses to the home-networked client devices such that the client devices may communicate in individual communication sessions with the host system over a single communication tunnel" [see Applicant's Response, pages 11-14]. Examiner interprets the limitation in a different and reasonable manner. The limitation reads "wherein the system is configured to (1) enable the host system to establish individual communication sessions with the multiple home-networked client devices over the single communication tunnel and (2) to assign independent Internet addresses to each of the multiple home-networked client devices". Examiner interprets that system performs the two functions, wherein the system of Reed includes the router as used in the above rejection. Applicant must clarify the claim language to distinguish from Examiner's interpretation. It is evident from the mappings found in the above rejection that Reed discloses the teaching of "establishing individual communications sessions with the multiple home-networked client devices over the single communication tunnel"

by disclosing the use of Network Address Translation (NAT). Network Address

Translation is an Internet standard that enables a local-area network (LAN) to use one set of IP addresses for internal traffic and a second set of addresses for external traffic.

A NAT box located where the LAN meets the Internet makes all necessary IP address translations. Therefore, all traffic destined for any of the clients behind the NAT box must go through the NAT box, meaning through a single communication tunnel. For more information on NAT, see RFC 2663.

- 41. Further, it is clear from the numerous teachings (previously and currently cited) that the provision for using "Network Address Translation" was widely implemented in the networking art.
- 42. Applicant only claims a router performing Network Address Translation. By Reed disclosing a router that performs Network Address Translation, Reed teaches the claimed invention. Thus, Applicant's arguments drawn toward distinction of the claimed invention and the prior art teachings on this point are not considered persuasive. It is also clear to the Examiner that the combination of Reed and Kikinis clearly teach the independent claims of the Applicant's claimed invention.
- 43. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-72 are deemed moot in view of the following new grounds of rejection, necessitated by Applicant's amendment to the claims, which significantly affected the scope thereof.
- 44. Furthermore, as it is Applicant's right to continue to claim as broadly as possible their invention, it is also the Examiner's right to continue to interpret the claim language as broadly as possible. It is the Examiner's position that the detailed functionality that

allows for Applicant's invention to overcome the prior art used in the rejection, fails to differentiate in detail how these features are unique. As it is extremely well known in the networking art as already shown by Reed and Kikinis as well as other prior arts of records disclosed Network Address Translation is taught as well as other claimed features of Applicant's invention. By the rejection above, the applicant must submit amendments to the claims in order to distinguish over the prior art use in the rejection that discloses different features of Applicant's claimed invention.

- 45. It is the Examiner's position that Applicant has not yet submitted claims drawn to limitations, which define the operation and apparatus of Applicant's disclosed invention in manner, which distinguishes over the prior art.
- 46. Failure for Applicant to significantly narrow definition/scope of the claims and supply arguments commensurate in scope with the claims implies the Applicant intends broad interpretation be given to the claims. The Examiner has interpreted the claims with scope parallel to the Applicant in the response and reiterates the need for the Applicant to more clearly and distinctly define the claimed invention.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Bret Dennison whose telephone number is (571)272-3910. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on (703)308-5221. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

J. B. D.

Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2143

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).